

On the period of the continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{2^{2n+1} + 1}$

YANN BUGEAUD

Université Louis Pasteur

UFR de mathématiques

7 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg, France

bugeaud@math.u-strasbg.fr

FLORIAN LUCA

Instituto de Matemáticas

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

C.P. 58180, Morelia, Michoacán, México

fluca@matmor.unam.mx

February 1, 2008

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that the period of the continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{2^n + 1}$ tends to infinity when n tends to infinity through odd positive integers.

1 Introduction

It is, in general, very hard to predict the features of the continued fraction expansion of a given positive real number. If the number in question is of the form \sqrt{d} , where d is a positive integer which is not a square, then its continued fraction expansion is of the form $[a_0, \{a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}, 2a_0\}]$, where we use $\{\dots\}$ to emphasize the period of the expansion. It is known that a_1, \dots, a_{r-1} is a palindrome; i.e., $a_i = a_{r-i}$ holds for all $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. The length r of the period is at least 1 (and this is achieved, for example, for square free numbers d of the form $k^2 + 1$ with some positive integer k), and $r \ll \sqrt{d} \log d$ (see [6]). Here, and in all what follows, we use the Vinogradov

symbols \ll and \gg , as well as the Landau symbols O and o , with their usual meanings.

It is believed that for “most” d the above upper bound is close to the truth. For this and other open problems concerning the behavior of r as a function of d , we refer the reader to Lenstra’s paper [7].

When d is restricted to run through certain parametrized families, occasionally some regular patterns appear. For example, Schinzel (see [11], [12]) proved that if $f(X)$ is a non constant polynomial with integer coefficients and positive leading term satisfying certain assumptions (for example, of odd degree, or of even degree but whose leading term is not a square of a positive integer), then the length of the continued fraction expansions of $\sqrt{f(n)}$ can become arbitrarily large as n goes to infinity.

In this paper, we look at a problem similar to Schinzel’s problem mentioned above when the polynomial $f(n)$ is replaced by a power sum over \mathbb{Z} satisfying suitable assumptions. That is, let $\ell \geq 1$, a_i and b_i be non zero integers for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, with $b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_\ell \geq 1$, and set

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_i b_i^n. \quad (1)$$

We call b_1, \dots, b_ℓ the *roots* of the form $f(n)$ and a_1, \dots, a_ℓ its *coefficients*. To follow standard notations (see, [2], for example), we write $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for the ring of all such forms together with the constant 0 form. If R is any subring of \mathbb{C} , we write $R\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for the ring $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, which is the ring of power sums $f(n)$ given by formula (1), but where the coefficients a_i are allowed to be in R . As usual, we write $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ for the field of algebraic numbers. Whenever we write $\sqrt{f(n)}$ for some $f(n) \in \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, we implicitly mean that $a_1 > 0$. In this way, we ensure that the above square root is real for all but finitely many values of the positive integer n .

Acknowledgments. This paper was written during a visit of Y. B. at the Mathematical Institute of the UNAM in Morelia in January 2004. He thanks this Institute for its hospitality. Both authors thank Pietro Corvaja and Umberto Zannier for a copy of [3]. Both authors were supported in part by the joint Project France-Mexico ANUIES-ECOS M01-M02.

2 Results

In order to prove our main result, we shall assume that our form $f(n) \in \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies the following condition:

Hypothesis (H). *There do not exist an integer $j \in \{0, 1\}$, a number $\delta < 1/2$, and forms $g(n)$ and $h(n)$ in $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, such that both the relation*

$$f(2n + j) = h(n)^2 + g(n)$$

and the estimate

$$|g(n)| \ll |f(n)|^\delta$$

hold for all positive integers n .

In this paper, we prove the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $f(n) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies Hypothesis (H). Then $\sqrt{f(n)}$ is a rational number for at most finitely many positive integers n . Moreover, the length $r(n)$ of the period of the continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{f(n)}$ tends to infinity with n .*

It is likely that Theorem 2.1 remains true even for certain forms $f(n) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (or $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$) which do not satisfy the above Hypothesis (H). However, note that some restrictions must be imposed as, for example, $\sqrt{h(n)^2 + 1} = [h(n), \{2h(n)\}]$ holds for all forms $h(n) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ whose coefficients a_i are positive for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, while the example $f(n) = h(n)^2$ with $h(n) \in \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ shows that $\sqrt{f(n)}$ can be a rational number with a bounded denominator for all positive integers n . See Section 5 for further remarks.

While the above Hypothesis (H) seems cumbersome to verify, we note that it trivially holds if none of the two positive integers a_1 or $a_1 b_1$ is a square. In particular, Theorem 2.1 applies to the form $f(n) = 2 \cdot 4^n + 1$ mentioned in the title of the present paper.

We also point out that Theorem 2.1 gives a partial answer to a problem specifically raised at the end of [3], where it is predicted that the period of the continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{f(n)}$ tends to infinity with n once $f(n) \in \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies certain “suitable assumptions”, which is the case here.

As predicted in the concluding remarks of [3], the proof of Theorem 2.1 uses the Subspace Theorem, much in the spirit of the papers [2] and [3].

3 Preparations

In this section, we review some standard notions of algebraic number theory (see, for example, [1, 9, 16]), and Diophantine approximations.

Let \mathbb{L} be an algebraic number field of degree D over \mathbb{Q} . Denote its ring of integers by $O_{\mathbb{L}}$ and its collection of places by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{L}}$. For a fractional ideal \mathcal{I} of \mathbb{L} , we denote by $\text{Nm}_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathcal{I})$ its norm. We recall that $\text{Nm}_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathcal{I}) = \#(O_{\mathbb{L}}/\mathcal{I})$

if \mathcal{I} is an ideal of $O_{\mathbb{L}}$, and the norm map is extended multiplicatively (using unique factorization) to all the fractional ideals of \mathbb{L} .

For a prime ideal \mathcal{P} , we denote by $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}}(x)$ the order at which it appears in the factorization of the principal ideal $[x]$ generated by x inside \mathbb{L} .

For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{L}}$ and $x \in \mathbb{L}$, we define the absolute value $|x|_{\mu}$ as follows:

- (i) $|x|_{\mu} = |\sigma(x)|^{1/D}$ if μ corresponds to the embedding $\sigma : \mathbb{L} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) $|x|_{\mu} = |\sigma(x)|^{2/D} = |\overline{\sigma}(x)|^{2/D}$ if μ corresponds to the pair of complex conjugate embeddings $\sigma, \overline{\sigma} : \mathbb{L} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$;
- (iii) $|x|_{\mu} = \text{Nm}_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathcal{P})^{\text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}}(x)}$ if μ corresponds to the nonzero prime ideal \mathcal{P} of $O_{\mathbb{L}}$.

In case (i) or (ii) we say that μ is *real infinite* or *complex infinite*, respectively; in case (iii) we say that μ is *finite*.

These absolute values satisfy the *product formula*

$$\prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{L}}} |x|_{\mu} = 1, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{L}^*.$$

Our basic tool is the following simplified version of a result of Schlickewei (see [13], [14]), which is commonly known as the Subspace Theorem.

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathbb{L} be an algebraic number field of degree D . Let \mathcal{S} be a finite set of places of \mathbb{L} containing all the infinite ones. Let $\{L_{1,\mu}, \dots, L_{M,\mu}\}$ for $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$ be linearly independent sets of linear forms in M variables with coefficients in \mathbb{L} . Then, for every fixed $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, the set \mathcal{X} of solutions $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_M) \in \mathbb{Z}^M \setminus \{0\}$ to the inequality*

$$\prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}} \prod_{i=1}^M |L_{i,\mu}(\mathbf{x})|_{\mu} < \max\{|x_i| \mid i = 1, \dots, M\}^{-\varepsilon}, \quad (2)$$

is contained in finitely many proper linear subspaces of \mathbb{Q}^M .

4 Proofs

Throughout this section, C_1, C_2, \dots are effectively computable constants which are either absolute, or depend on the given data (usually, a form $f(n) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$).

The following result is a variation of Lemma 1 from [2].

Lemma 4.1. *There exists an absolute constant C_1 such that the following holds. If b is any positive integer and $f(n) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (not necessarily satisfying Hypothesis (H)) are such that for infinitely many positive integers n the denominator of the rational number $f(n)/b^n$ is less than $\exp(C_1 n)$, then $b \mid b_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \ell$.*

Proof. We shall choose $C_1 = \log 2/2$. Without any loss of generality, we may assume that $\gcd(b_1, \dots, b_\ell) = 1$. We then have to prove that $b = 1$. Assume that this is not so, and assume further that b is prime (if not, we replace b by a prime factor of it). Finally, it is clear that we may assume that none of the roots of $f(n)$ is a multiple of b , for if not, we may replace $f(n)$ by

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \ell \\ b \nmid b_i}} a_i b_i^n.$$

We now apply Lemma 3.1 as in the proof of Lemma 1 in [2]. We let $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Q}$, $M = \ell$, and \mathcal{S} be the set of places of \mathbb{L} consisting of ∞ , b , and all prime factors of b_i for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. For $\mu \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{b\}$ and a vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_M)$ we put $L_{i,\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, M$, while for $\mu = b$ we put $L_{1,b}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^M a_i x_i$ and $L_{i,b}(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, M$. We evaluate the double product appearing in the statement of Lemma 3.1 for $\mathbf{x} = (b_1^n, \dots, b_\ell^n)$. We note that x_i are integers for all $i = 1, \dots, M$. The calculation from page 322 in [2] shows that

$$\prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}} \prod_{i=1}^M |L_{i,\mu}(\mathbf{x})|_\mu = |L_{1,b}(\mathbf{x})|_b \leq b^{-n} \cdot 2^{n/2} \leq b^{-n/2} = (b_1^n)^{-\varepsilon_0}, \quad (3)$$

where $\varepsilon_0 = \log p / (2 \log b_1)$. Since $b_1^n = \max\{|x_i| \mid i = 1, \dots, M\}$, it follows easily that the above inequality (3) implies that our points \mathbf{x} and linear forms $L_{i,\mu}$ for $i = 1, \dots, M$, and $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$ fulfill inequality (2) with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$. Now Lemma 3.1 asserts that there are finitely many proper subspaces of \mathbb{Q}^M of equations of the form $\sum_{i=1}^M c_i x_i = 0$ with $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $i = 1, \dots, M$, not all zero, such that every point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^M$ satisfying the above inequality (3) lies on one of these subspaces. This in turns gives us equations of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^M c_i b_i^n = 0. \quad (4)$$

Since each one of the above equations gives the set of zeros of a linear recurrent sequence having a dominant root (note that at least one of the coefficients c_i is non zero), it follows that each one of these equations can have only finitely many positive integer solutions n . \square

Let $f(n) \in \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be some form, not necessarily satisfying Hypothesis (H). Replacing $f(n)$ by $f(2n+j)$ for $j = \{0, 1\}$, it follows that we may replace b_i by b_i^2 and a_i by $a_i b_i^j$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. In particular, we may assume that b_1 is a square.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f(n) \in \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Assume that $f(n)$ satisfies Hypothesis (H). Then there exists a computable positive constant C_2 , depending only on $f(n)$, such that if C is any fixed constant and if $(X(n), Y(n))$ are positive integers such that the inequality*

$$|X(n)^2 - f(n)Y(n)^2| < C$$

holds, then $Y(n) > \exp(C_2 n)$ holds for all positive integers n with finitely many exceptions.

Proof. We write $f(n) = a_1 b_1^n (1 + \delta(n))$, where

$$\delta(n) = \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} \frac{a_i}{a_1} \left(\frac{b_i}{b_1} \right)^n.$$

Note that $\delta(n) = 0$ in $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ if and only if $\ell = 1$. If $\ell \geq 2$, we then let $\beta = b_1/b_2$, and observe that $\beta > 1$ and that $\delta(n) = O(\beta^{-n})$. We let k be a positive integer such that $\beta^k > b_1$. Clearly, we can choose $k = \lfloor \log b_1 / \log \beta \rfloor + 1$. Writing $\alpha = \sqrt{a_1}$, we note that we have the approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{f(n)} &= \alpha b_1^{n/2} \sqrt{1 + \delta(n)} \\ &= \alpha b_1^{n/2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{1/2}{i} \delta(n)^i + O(\delta(n)^{k+1}) \right) \\ &= \alpha b_1^{n/2} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{1/2}{i} \delta(n)^i + O(b_1^{-n/2} \beta^{-n}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\alpha b_1^{n/2} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{1/2}{i} \delta(n)^i = \alpha \cdot \frac{f_1(n)}{b_1^{(k-1/2)n}},$$

where $f_1(n) \in \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Thus, we may write that

$$\sqrt{f(n)} = \alpha \frac{f_1(n)}{b_1^{(k-1/2)n}} + O(b_1^{-n/2} \beta^{-n}),$$

where we take $f_1(n) = 0$ if $\ell = 1$. Note also that all the prime factors of the roots of $f_1(n)$ are among the prime factors of the roots of $f(n)$. Assume now that C is some fixed positive constant and that $(X(n), Y(n))$ is a pair of positive integers such that

$$|X(n)^2 - f(n)Y(n)^2| < C. \quad (5)$$

Then, since

$$f(n) = \left(\alpha \frac{f_1(n)}{b_1^{(k-1/2)n}} + O(b_1^{-n/2} \beta^{-n}) \right)^2 = \alpha^2 \left(\frac{f_1(n)}{b_1^{(k-1/2)n}} \right)^2 + O(\beta^{-n}),$$

we get that

$$X(n)^2 - f(n)Y(n)^2 = X(n)^2 - \alpha^2 \left(\frac{f_1(n)}{b_1^{(k-1/2)n}} \right)^2 Y(n)^2 + O(Y(n)^2 \beta^{-n}).$$

We choose $C_2 < \log \beta / 2$, and infer that if $Y(n) < \exp(C_2 n)$, then inequality (5) leads to the conclusion that the inequality

$$\left| X(n)^2 - \alpha^2 \left(\frac{f_1(n)}{b_1^{(k-1/2)n}} \right)^2 Y(n)^2 \right| < 2C$$

holds for all but finitely many positive integers n . In turn, the above inequality implies that

$$\left| X(n) - \frac{\alpha f_1(n)}{b_1^{(k-1/2)n}} Y(n) \right| \ll \frac{1}{b_1^{n/2} Y(n)}. \quad (6)$$

The constant understood in \ll above depends on C and on the form $f(n)$. The above inequality (6) is equivalent to

$$\left| b_1^{(k-1/2)n} X(n) - \alpha f_1(n) Y(n) \right| \ll \frac{b_1^{(k-1)n}}{Y(n)}. \quad (7)$$

We now write

$$f_1(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell'} a'_i (b'_i)^n,$$

where $b'_1 > b'_2 > \dots > b'_{\ell'} \geq 1$. Note that $1 \leq \ell' \leq 1 + (\ell - 1) + \dots + (\ell - 1)^k$, and that $b'_1 = b_1^k$ (recall that b_1 is a square). We are now all set to apply

Lemma 3.1. We choose $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$, $M = 1 + \ell'$, and \mathcal{S} to be the set of all places of \mathbb{L} (which is either \mathbb{Q} , or a real quadratic field, respectively) consisting of the infinite ones (one, or two of them, respectively), and the finite ones corresponding to primes in \mathbb{L} lying above the prime factors of $b_1 \dots b_\ell$. Note that all the prime factors of the b'_i 's are among the prime factors of the b_i 's. When $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$ is finite, we then put $L_{i,\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, M$, while if μ is infinite corresponding to the real embedding $\sigma : \mathbb{L} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, we then put $L_{i,\mu} = x_i$ if $i \neq 2$, and $L_{i,\mu} = x_1 - \sigma^{-1}(\alpha)(a'_1 x_2 + \dots + a'_{\ell'} x_{\ell'+1})$ if $i = 2$. Note that if x_i are rational integers (i.e., in \mathbb{Z}), then $|L_{i,\mu}(\mathbf{x})|_\mu = |x_1 - \alpha(a'_1 x_2 + \dots + a'_{\ell'} x_{\ell'+1})|^{1/D}$ holds for all the infinite places $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$. We now verify that if we take $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_M)$ as $x_1 = b_1^{(k-1/2)n} X(n)$, and $x_i = (b'_{i-1})^n Y(n)$ for $i = 2, \dots, M$, then inequality (7) implies that the inequality

$$\prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}} \prod_{i=1}^M |L_{i,\mu}(\mathbf{x})|_\mu \ll \frac{Y(n)^{M-1}}{b_1^{n/2}} \quad (8)$$

holds. Observe that if $i \neq 2$, then

$$\prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}} |L_{i,\mu}(\mathbf{x})|_\mu = \prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}} |x_i|_\mu,$$

and by the product formula, the fact that $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ for all $i = 1, \dots, M$, and the fact that \mathcal{S} contains all infinite places and all the places corresponding to all the prime divisors of b'_i for $i = 1, \dots, \ell'$, it follows easily that

$$\prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}} |L_{1,\mu}(\mathbf{x})|_\mu = \prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}} |x_1|_\mu \leq X(n) \ll b_1^{n/2} Y(n), \quad (9)$$

while

$$\prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}} |L_{i,\mu}(\mathbf{x})|_\mu = \prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}} |x_i|_\mu \leq Y(n) \quad \text{for } i = 3, \dots, M. \quad (10)$$

Finally, when $i = 2$, and $D = 1$, we have, by inequality (7), that

$$\prod_{\substack{\mu \in \mathcal{S} \\ \mu < \infty}} |L_{2,\mu}(\mathbf{x})|_\mu \cdot |L_{2,\infty}(\mathbf{x})|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{(b'_1)^n} \cdot \frac{b_1^{(k-1)n}}{Y(n)} \leq \frac{1}{b_1^n}, \quad (11)$$

because $b'_1 = b_1^k$, while when $i = 2$ and $D = 2$, we have, again by inequality (7), that

$$\prod_{\substack{\mu \in \mathcal{S} \\ \mu < \infty}} |L_{2,\mu}(\mathbf{x})|_\mu \cdot |L_{2,\infty_1}(\mathbf{x})|_{\infty_1} \cdot |L_{2,\infty_2}(\mathbf{x})|_{\infty_2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{b_1^{kn}} \cdot \left(\frac{b_1^{(k-1)n}}{Y(n)} \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\frac{b_1^{(k-1)n}}{Y(n)} \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{b_1^n},$$

which is again inequality (11) but for the case $D = 2$. Inequality (8) follows now easily by multiplying inequalities (9), (10) and (11). We now choose $C_2 < \log b_1 / (4(M-1))$, and conclude that if $Y(n) < \exp(C_2 n)$, then $Y(n)^{M-1} \leq b_1^{n/4}$, and therefore inequality (8) implies that the inequality

$$\prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}} \prod_{i=1}^M |L_{i,\mu}(\mathbf{x})|_{\mu} \ll \frac{1}{b_1^{n/4}} \quad (12)$$

holds. Assuming that $C_2 < k \log b_1$, we get that

$$\max\{|x_i| \mid i = 1, \dots, M\} \ll b_1^{kn} Y(n) \leq b_1^{2kn} = (b_1^{n/4})^{8k}.$$

It follows easily that the above inequality (12) implies that Lemma 3.1 holds for our field \mathbb{L} , points \mathbf{x} , set of valuations \mathcal{S} and forms $L_{i,\mu}$ for $i = 1, \dots, M$, and $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$, with $\varepsilon = 1/(8k+1)$ for all but finitely many positive integers n . The conclusion of Lemma 3.1 is that there exist finitely many proper subspaces of \mathbb{Q}^M of equations $\sum_{i=1}^M c_i x_i = 0$, with not all the coefficients c_i being zero, and such that all points \mathbf{x} satisfying the above inequality (12) belong to one of these subspaces.

Assume now that \mathbf{x} is one of these subspaces of equation $\sum_{i=1}^M c_i x_i = 0$. Suppose first that $c_1 = 0$. We then get the equation

$$\sum_{i=2}^M c_i (b'_{i-1})^n = 0,$$

which gives the set of zeros of a linear recurrence sequence having a dominant root (note that at least one c_i for $i \geq 2$ is nonzero), and as such it can have only finitely many positive integer solutions n .

Assume now that $c_1 \neq 0$. In this case, we get that

$$X(n) = \frac{f_2(n)}{b_1^{(k-1/2)n}} Y(n),$$

where $f_2(n) \in \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the form given by

$$f_2(n) = - \sum_{i=2}^M c_i c_1^{-1} (b'_{i-1})^n.$$

Thus, if we write $b = b_1^{(k-1/2)}$, then $f_2(n)/b^n = X(n)/Y(n)$. Assume that $C_2 < C_1$, where C_1 is the constant appearing in Lemma 4.1. Then, if $Y(n) < \exp(C_2 n)$, and if the above equation has infinitely many positive integer solutions n , it follows, by Lemma 4.2, that b divides every root of $f_2(n)$. In particular, we get that $Y(n)$ is bounded. Since we are assuming that this is so for infinitely many values of n , it follows that there exists a constant value A such that $Y(n) = A$ holds for infinitely many values of the positive integer n . Since the inequality $|X(n)^2 - f(n)Y(n)^2| < C$ also holds for all these positive integers n , it follows that there exists a fixed integer B such that both relations $X(n)^2 - f(n)Y(n)^2 = B$ and $Y(n) = A$ hold. In particular, we conclude that the diophantine equation $f(n) = x^2 - B/A^2$ admits infinitely many solutions (n, x) , with a positive integer n , and a rational number x (namely, all the pairs $(n, x) = (n, X(n)/A)$). Theorem 3 from [2] tells us, in particular, that $f(n)$ does not satisfy Hypothesis (H), which is a contradiction.

The above argument does show that if we choose C_2 to be sufficiently small, then indeed, for every fixed value of the positive real number C , all positive integer solutions $(X(n), Y(n))$ of the inequality (5) have $Y(n) > \exp(C_2 n)$ for all but finitely many values of n . \square

Remark. It is easy to see that Lemma 4.2 remains true even for forms $f(n) \in \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying a weaker hypothesis than Hypothesis (H), namely that there do not exist $j \in \{0, 1\}$, $h(n) \in \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $f(2n + j) = h(n)^2 + \lambda$ holds identically for all positive integers n .

Assume now that $f(n) \in \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies Hypothesis (H). For every positive integer n , we write $\sqrt{f(n)} = [a_0(n), \dots, a_j(n), \dots]$ for the continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{f(n)}$. We also write $p_j(n)/q_j(n)$ for the j th convergent of $\sqrt{f(n)}$. The next Lemma is the key ingredient of the proof of our Theorem 2.1, as it will show that the first “sufficiently many” partial quotients $a_j(n)$ are “small” for all but finitely many positive integers n .

Lemma 4.3. *Let $f(n) \in \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a form satisfying Hypothesis (H). Then there exist positive computable positive constants $C_3 < 1$ and $C_4 \geq 2$ depending only on $f(n)$, such that the following holds.*

Assume that $\varepsilon \in (0, C_3)$ is fixed.

(i) *If $q_j(n) < \exp(C_3 \varepsilon n)$, then the inequality*

$$\left| \sqrt{f(n)} - \frac{p_j(n)}{q_j(n)} \right| \geq \frac{1}{q_j^2(n) \exp(\varepsilon n)} \quad (13)$$

holds with at most finitely many exceptions in the positive integer n (depending on ε).

(ii) If $\exp(C_3\varepsilon n) \leq q_j(n) < \exp(C_3n)$, then the inequality

$$\left| \sqrt{f(n)} - \frac{p_j(n)}{q_j(n)} \right| \geq \frac{1}{q_j(n)^{C_4}} \quad (14)$$

holds with at most finitely many exceptions in the positive integer n (depending on ε).

Proof. We will deal with both inequalities (13) and (14) simultaneously. We write $Q_j(n) = q_j(n) \exp(\varepsilon n)$ in case (i) and $Q_j(n) = q_j(n)^{C_4-1}$ in case (ii). With the notations from Lemma 4.2, we have the approximation

$$\sqrt{f(n)} = \alpha \frac{f_1(n)}{b_1^{(k-1/2)n}} + O(b_1^{-n/2} \beta^{-n}).$$

Thus, the inequality

$$\left| \sqrt{f(n)} - \frac{p_j(n)}{q_j(n)} \right| < \frac{1}{q_j(n) Q_j(n)}$$

leads to the inequality

$$\left| \alpha \frac{f_1(n)}{b_1^{(k-1/2)n}} - \frac{p_j(n)}{q_j(n)} \right| \ll \frac{1}{q_j(n) Q_j(n)}, \quad (15)$$

provided that the inequality $(b_1^{1/2} \beta)^n > q_j(n) Q_j(n)$ holds. In case (i) this last inequality is satisfied if $(C_3 + 1)\varepsilon < \log(b_1^{1/2} \beta)$, while in case (ii) this last inequality is satisfied if $C_3 C_4 < \log(b_1^{1/2} \beta)$. Since $\varepsilon < C_3 < 1$, it follows that in the first case the inequality is fulfilled if $2C_3 < \log(b_1^{1/2} \beta)$, and since $C_4 \geq 2$, we see that it suffices that the inequality $C_3 C_4 < \log(b_1^{1/2} \beta)$ holds. From (15), we get the inequality

$$\left| b_1^{(k-1/2)n} p_j(n) - \alpha f_1(n) q_j(n) \right| \ll \frac{b_1^{(k-1/2)n}}{Q_j(n)}. \quad (16)$$

Comparing (16) with (7), we see that (16) is obtained from (7) by replacing $X(n)$ and $Y(n)$ by $p_j(n)$ and $q_j(n)$, respectively, and the upper bound $b_1^{(k-1)n}/Y(n)$ on (7) by the upper bound $b_1^{(k-1/2)n}/Q_j(n)$. We now apply

again Lemma 3.1 with the same choices of field \mathbb{L} , set of places \mathcal{S} , forms $L_{i,\mu}$, and integer indeterminates vector \mathbf{x} , as in the proof of the Lemma 4.2. Inequality (8) now becomes

$$\prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}} \prod_{i=1}^M |L_{i,\mu}(\mathbf{x})|_{\mu} \ll \frac{q_j(n)^M}{Q_j(n)}. \quad (17)$$

In case (i), the right hand side of (17) is $q_j(n)^{M-1} / \exp(\varepsilon n)$. Imposing that $C_3 < 1/(2(M-1))$, then $q_j(n)^{M-1} < \exp(\varepsilon n/2)$, and therefore the above inequality (17) becomes

$$\prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}} \prod_{i=1}^M |L_{i,\mu}(\mathbf{x})|_{\mu} \ll \frac{1}{\exp(\varepsilon n/2)}. \quad (18)$$

Assume that ε is such that $C_3 \varepsilon < k \log b_1$. Since $\varepsilon < C_3$, it suffices that $C_3^2 < k \log b_1$. In this case, since $q_j(n) < \exp(C_3 \varepsilon n) < b_1^{kn}$, we get that

$$\max\{|x_i| \mid i = 1, \dots, M\} \ll q_j(n) b_1^{kn} \ll b_1^{2kn} = \exp(\varepsilon n/2)^{\varepsilon^{-1} C_5}, \quad (19)$$

where $C_5 = 4k \log b_1$. Hence, from inequalities (18) and (19), we get

$$\prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}} \prod_{i=1}^M |L_{i,\mu}(\mathbf{x})|_{\mu} \ll \frac{1}{\exp(\varepsilon n/2)} \ll \max\{|x_i| \mid i = 1, \dots, M\}^{-\varepsilon C_6}, \quad (20)$$

where $C_6 = C_5^{-1}$.

In case (ii), we may choose $C_4 = M+2$, and then inequality (17) becomes

$$\prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}} \prod_{i=1}^M |L_{i,\mu}(\mathbf{x})|_{\mu} \ll \frac{1}{q_j(n)} \leq \frac{1}{\exp(C_3 \varepsilon n)}. \quad (21)$$

Assuming that $C_3 < k \log b_1$, and that $q_j(n) < \exp(C_3 n)$, we note that

$$\max\{|x_i| \mid i = 1, \dots, M\} \ll b_1^{kn} q_j(n) \leq b_1^{2kn} = \exp(C_3 \varepsilon n)^{\varepsilon^{-1} C_7},$$

where $C_7 = (2k \log b_1)/C_3$. Thus, inequality (21) implies that the inequality

$$\prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}} \prod_{i=1}^M |L_{i,\mu}(\mathbf{x})|_{\mu} \ll \frac{1}{\exp(C_3 \varepsilon n)} \ll \max\{|x_i| \mid i = 1, \dots, M\}^{-\varepsilon C_8} \quad (22)$$

holds with $C_8 = C_7^{-1}$.

In either one of the two cases (i) or (ii) we may apply Lemma 3.1, and derive that there exist only finitely many subspaces of \mathbb{Q}^M of equations $\sum_{i=1}^M c_i x_i = 0$, and not all the coefficients c_i being zero, and such that every point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^M$ satisfying either inequality (20) or (22) lies on one of these subspaces. Consider now the subspace of equation $\sum_{i=1}^M c_i x_i = 0$. If $c_1 = 0$, we then get the equation $\sum_{i=2}^M c_i (b'_{i-1})^n = 0$, which has only finitely many positive integer solutions n because at least one of the coefficients c_i is nonzero for $i = 2, \dots, M$. Assume now that $c_1 \neq 0$. In this case, we get that

$$\frac{p_j(n)}{q_j(n)} = \frac{f_2(n)}{b^n},$$

where $b = b_1^{(k-1/2)}$, and $f_2(n) = \sum_{i=2}^M c_i c_1^{-1} (b'_{i-1})^n$. Assuming that $C_3 < C_1$, where C_1 appears in Lemma 4.1, it follows that either the above equation can have only finitely many positive integer solutions n , or the above equation has infinitely many positive integer solutions n . In this last case, $q_j(n)$ is bounded for all such n and thus, for large n , we are in case (i). It now follows that there exists a constant A such that $q_j(n) = A$ holds for infinitely many n , and we are therefore led to the conclusion that the inequality

$$\left| \sqrt{f(n)} - \frac{p_j(n)}{A} \right| \ll \frac{1}{\exp(\varepsilon n)}$$

holds for infinitely many positive integers n . Theorem 3 from [2] tells us that $f(n)$ does not satisfy Hypothesis (H), which is the final contradiction. \square

We can now prove our Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We assume again that b_1 is a perfect square. We write C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 for the constants appearing in the statements of Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, respectively. We first note that if $\sqrt{f(n)}$ is a rational number for infinitely many values of the positive integer n , it follows, by Theorem 3 from [2], that there exists a form $h(n) \in \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $f(n) = h(n)^2$. In particular, $f(n)$ does not satisfy Hypothesis (H). Assume now that $f(n)$ is not a square of an integer. In this case, $\sqrt{f(n)} = [a_0(n), \{a_1(n), \dots, a_{r(n)-1}(n), 2a_0(n)\}]$. Assume that $r(n)$ does not tend to infinity. Then there exists a fixed positive integer r such that $r = r(n)$ holds for infinitely many positive integers n . It is known that $p_{r-1}(n)/q_{r-1}(n)$ gives the fundamental unit in the quadratic order $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{f(n)}]$. In particular, we have the equation $p_{r-1}(n)^2 - f(n)q_{r-1}(n)^2 = \pm 1$. By Lemma 4.2 with $C = 1$, it follows that infinitely many positive

integers n exist such that $q_{r-1}(n) > \exp(C_2 n)$. Let ε be a very small number in the interval $(0, C_3)$ to be chosen later. By Lemma 4.3, both inequalities (13) and (14) hold for infinitely many positive integers n , and for all non negative integers j . Let $m \leq r-1$ be the largest index such that the inequality $q_m(n) < \exp(C_3 \varepsilon n)$ holds. In this case, by inequality (13), we get that $q_{m+1}(n) \leq q_m(n) \exp(\varepsilon n) < \exp((C_3 + 1)\varepsilon n)$, but by the definition of m , we also have $q_{m+1}(n) \geq \exp(C_3 \varepsilon n)$. By inequality (14), we get that $q_{m+2} \leq q_{m+1}^{C_4}$ once ε is sufficiently small, and, in general, that the inequality $q_{m+s+1}(n) \leq q_{m+s}(n)^{C_4}$ holds provided that $q_{m+s}(n) < \exp(C_3 n)$. Assuming therefore that $q_{m+s}(n) < \exp(C_3 n)$, we get that $q_{m+s+1}(n) \leq q_{m+1}(n)^{C_4^s} \leq \exp(C_4^s (C_3 + 1)\varepsilon n)$. Taking $s = r-1$, we get that the inequality

$$q_r(n) \leq q_{m+(r-1)+1}(n) \leq \exp(C_4^{r-1} (C_3 + 1)\varepsilon n)$$

holds, provided that $C_4^{r-1} (C_3 + 1)\varepsilon < C_3$. Thus, it suffices to choose ε such that this last inequality is fulfilled. However, we also know that $q_r(n) > q_{r-1}(n) \geq \exp(C_2 n)$. Hence, if we choose ε such that the inequality $C_4^{r-1} (C_3 + 1)\varepsilon < C_2$ holds as well, we then obtain a contradiction.

Thus, $r(n)$ tends to infinity and Theorem 2.1 is therefore proved. \square

5 Comments and Remarks

We do not know whether Hypothesis (H) is needed, although it is clear that some assumption is necessary in order to get the conclusion of Theorem 2.1.

Indeed, it is easily checked that for $v(n), w(n) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $f(n) = v(n)^2 w(n)^2 + 2w(n)$, we have that the relation

$$\sqrt{f(n)} = [v(n)w(n), \{v(n), 2v(n)w(n)\}]$$

holds for all sufficiently large positive integers n .

We are also unable to decide whether for any form $f(n) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that the length $r(n)$ of the period of the continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{f(n)}$ remains bounded for infinitely many n , there must exist $j \in \{0, 1\}$ and $f_0(n), \dots, f_{r-1}(n) \in \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that the relation

$$\sqrt{f(2n+j)} = [f_0(n), \{f_1(n), \dots, f_{r-1}(n), 2f_0(n)\}]$$

holds for all sufficiently large positive integers n .

In the literature, there exist explicit versions of Lemma 3.1 (see, for example, [4], [5]), which bound the number of possible subspaces occurring

in Lemma 3.1. Usually, such a bound is of the form $C_5\delta^{-C_6}$. The constant C_6 depends only on the number of indeterminates M , and the number of places $\#S$, while the constant C_5 depends also on the *heights* of the linear forms $L_{i,\mu}$ for $i = 1, \dots, M$, and $\mu \in S$.

It is likely that one could use such results instead of the present formulation of Lemma 3.1, in conjunction with upper bounds for the zero multiplicities of linearly recurrent sequences (such as the results from [10] and [15]), to get that there exists a function $g(X)$ tending to infinity with X , such that if $f(n) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies Hypothesis (H) and if X tends to infinity, then $r(n) \gg g(X)$ holds for all positive integers $n < X$ with $o(X)$ exceptions. We point out that a result establishing a lower bound for the exponent of the group $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ of points on an elliptic curve \mathbf{E} defined over the finite field with q elements \mathbb{F}_q , and valid for almost all n , has been recently established in [8] by a method similar to the one described above.

Unfortunately, we could not obtain such a result in the present context.

References

- [1] H. Cohn, *A classical introduction to algebraic number theory and class fields*, Springer-Verlag, NY, 1978.
- [2] P. Corvaja and U. Zannier, ‘Diophantine equations with power sums and universal Hilbert sets’, *Indag. Math.* N.S. **9** no. 3 (1998), 317–332.
- [3] P. Corvaja and U. Zannier, ‘On the length of the continued fraction for values of quotients of power sums’, Preprint, 2003.
- [4] J.-H. Evertse, ‘An improvement of the quantitative subspace theorem’, *Compos. Math.*, **101** (1996), 225–311.
- [5] J.-H. Evertse and H. P. Schlickewei, ‘A quantitative version of the absolute subspace theorem’, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **548** (2002), 21–127.
- [6] L. K. Hua, ‘On the least solution to Pell’s equation’, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **48** (1942), 731–735.
- [7] H. W. Lenstra, Jr., ‘Solving the Pell equation’, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **49** no. 2 (2002), 182–192.
- [8] F. Luca and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘On the exponent of the group of points on elliptic curves in extension fields’, Preprint 2003.

- [9] W. Narkiewicz, *Elementary and analytic theory of algebraic numbers*, Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa, 1990.
- [10] A. J. van der Poorten and H. P. Schlickewei, ‘Zeros of recurrence sequences’, *Bull. Austral Math. Soc.* **44** (1991), 215–223.
- [11] A. Schinzel, ‘On some problems of the arithmetical theory of continued fractions’, *Acta Arith.* **6** (1961), 393–413.
- [12] A. Schinzel, ‘On some problems of the arithmetical theory of continued fractions II’, *Acta Arith.* **7** (1962), 287–298.
- [13] W. M. Schmidt, *Diophantine Approximations*, Springer Verlag, LNM **785** (1980).
- [14] W. M. Schmidt, *Diophantine Approximations and Diophantine Equations*, Springer Verlag, LNM **1467** (1991).
- [15] H. P. Schlickewei and W. M. Schmidt, ‘The number of solutions of polynomial-exponential equations’, *Compos. Math.*, **120** (2000), 193–225.
- [16] I. Stewart and Tall, *Algebraic number theory and Fermat’s last theorem*, A. K. Peters, Natick, MA, 2002.